



OUTDOOR HERITAGE FUND GRANT APPLICATION

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

SFN 61465 (06-2018)

Project Name Lake Tobiason Improvements		
Name of Organization Steele County Water Resource District		Federal Tax ID Number 45-0308861
Contact Person/Title Tasha Krueger		
Address P.O. Box 231		
City Finley	State ND	ZIP Code 58230
Email Address scwater@gmail.com	Web Site Address (optional)	
Telephone Number (701) 524-1105	Fax Number	
List Names of Co-Applicants (if this is a joint proposal)		

Major Directive *(choose only one response)*

Directive A: Providing access to private and public lands for sportsmen, including projects that create fish and wildlife habitat and provide access for sportsmen;

Directive B: Improving, maintaining and restoring water quality, soil conditions, plant diversity, animal systems and by supporting other practices of stewardship to enhance farming and ranching;

Directive C: Developing, enhancing, conserving and restoring wildlife and fish habitat on private and public lands; and

Directive D: Conserving natural areas and creating other areas for recreation through the establishment and development of parks and other recreation areas.

Additional Directive *(choose all that apply)*

Directive A Directive B Directive C Directive D

Type of Agency

State Agency Political Subdivision Tribal Entity Tax-exempt, nonprofit corporation

Abstract/Executive Summary

Summarize the project, including its objectives, expected results, duration, total project costs and participants. (No more than 500 words)

Lake Tobiason is located in Beaver Creek Township, has a surface area of approximately 45 acres, and a maximum depth of 35 feet. The lake was originally constructed in the 1930's by the WPA, which turned a slough into a lake. The Steele County Water Resource District (WRD) now owns and maintains the lake's inlet and outlet structures, although the WRD has very limited funding. The objective of this project is to preserve and enhance the water quality of the lake by replacing the lake's failing inlet and outlet pipe structures.

Fresh water has historically been diverted from the adjacent Spring Creek through an inlet pipe at the northwest side of the lake. A drainage ditch on the east side of the lake along with four pipes on the northeast side of the lake allow water to flow back into Spring Creek. The inlet, outlet pipes and outlet ditch allow for a replenishing of the lake with fresh water, improving water quality and is also a source of water for the lake.

A rock structure within Spring Creek diverts water through an inlet pipe into Lake Tobiason, which was replaced in 1976 by the North Dakota State Water Commission. The rock structure in Spring Creek has been removed and is no longer diverting fresh water into the lake. Additionally, the inlet and outlet pipes that go through the township road (16th St NE) are failing and need to be replaced. The proposed project is to replace the inlet pipe and diversion rock structure, along with the four outlet pipes. The existing corrugated steel pipes would be replaced with reinforced concrete pipes, while the pipe diameter of the new pipes would match the existing diameters.

This project is expected to last one construction season, and the total cost is estimated to be \$172,000. The Steele County Water Resource District (WRD) would be the lead local sponsor and has received cost share commitments from the Steele County Commission, Steele County WRD, Steele County Job Development Authority, Beaver Creek Township and many local landowners. The existing pipes would be plugged or removed if the project is not completed funded. Lake Tobiason would then only receive water from rainfall and snow melt adjacent to the lake, which could turn the lake into a slough during long dry spans.

Project Duration

Indicate the intended schedule for drawing down OHF funds.

Construction is estimated to take two months, and is expected to be complete by Fall 2019. The funds would be drawn down during the construction period.

Amount of Grant Request \$86,000.00	Total Project Costs Note: In-kind and indirect costs can be used for matching funds. \$172,000	Amount of Matching Funds \$86,000.00
--	---	---

A minimum of 25% Match Funding is required. Indicate if the matching funds will be in-kind, indirect or cash. Please provide verification that these matching funds are available for your project. Note that effective as of July 1, 2015, no State General Fund dollars can be used for a match unless funding was legislatively appropriated for that purpose.

Amount of Match	Funding Source	Type of Match
\$31,600.00	Steele County Commission	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$28,810.00	Steele County Water Resource District	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$15,000.00	Steele County Job Development Authority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$5,000.00	Beaver Creek Township	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$5,590.00	Local landowners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
		<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
		<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
		<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
		<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect

Certifications

- I certify that this application has been made with the support of the governing body and chief executive of my organization.
- I certify that if awarded grant funding, none of the funding will be used for any of the exemptions listed at the back of this form.

Narrative

Organization Information - Briefly summarize your organization's history, mission, current programs and activities. Include an overview of your organizational structure, including board, staff and volunteer involvement. (No more than 300 words.)

The Steele County Water Resource District is a political subdivision that has been in existence for decades. The District is governed by a board of managers appointed by the Steele County Commission. It has the responsibility within Steele County to manage, conserve, protect, develop and control waters of the state for the benefit of the public. It is the policy of the District to provide management, conservation, protection, development and control of water resources, to work cooperatively with other resource agencies to strengthen and mutually support related programs, and protect and promote the health, safety and general welfare of the people of North Dakota.

The District manages a variety of programs including those related to drainage permits, legal drains, maintaining, protecting and controlling streamflow, protection and maintenance of water bodies, managing flooding issues, and protection and maintenance of water quality.

The District has minimal staff. To accomplish program goals, the District retains professional services for legal and engineering needs when necessary.

Purpose of Grant - Describe the proposed project identifying how the project will meet the specific directive(s) of the Outdoor Heritage Fund Program.

Identify project goals, strategies and benefits and your timetable for implementation. Include information about the need for the project and whether there is urgency for funding. Indicate if this is a new project or if it is replacing funding that is no longer available to your organization. Identify any innovative features or processes of your project. Note: if your proposal provides funding to an individual, the names of the recipients must be reported to the Industrial Commission/Outdoor Heritage Fund. These names will be disclosed upon request. **(see additional notes below)**

This project will allow for the continued public use of Lake Tobiason by hunters, anglers and other recreational users. The lake is fished and has northern pike, walleye, largemouth bass and bluegills. Hunting has been done around the lake for deer, pheasant, partridge, doves and waterfowl. The lake has also been used for water skiing and jet skiing, and there is a cafe adjacent to the lake that is used for family reunions and organizational events.

The inlet and outlet pipes that provide fresh water to the lake are failing and need to be replaced. Steele County has a limited amount of recreational waters and this restoration project would be extremely valuable to the local residents. If funded, it is the WRD's intent to ask the ND Game & Fish to resume restocking the lake, since this project would provide a reliable fresh water supply. If the project is not funded, the existing pipes would be plugged or removed, and the lake would likely turn back into a slough.

The rock structure in Spring Creek that diverts water into Lake Tobiason was removed by a contractor hired by an adjacent landowner to remove sedimentation within Spring Creek. The landowner wanted to improve drainage on his land, and did not intend for the rock structure to be removed. In fact, the landowner supports the improvement project, and has committed money for the project. The project would replace the structure and an easement for that structure will be obtained by the Steele County WRD.

Please list the counties that would be impacted by this project:

Steele County

Is this project part of a Comprehensive Conservation Plan?

No Yes, If yes, provide copy of plan with the application.

Note: Projects involving buildings will only be considered if part of a Comprehensive Conservation Plan. Please refer to the "Definitions" section at the back of the form for more details.

- For tree/shrub/grass plantings: provide a planting plan describing the site design, planting methods, number of trees/shrubs by species and stock size, grass species and future maintenance. A statement certifying that the applicant will adhere to USDA-NRCS tree/shrub/grass planting specifications along with the name of the governmental entity designing the planting may be substituted for a planting plan.
- For projects including Section 319 funding: provide in detail the specific best management practices that will be implemented and the specific projects for which you are seeking funding.
- For projects including fencing: A minimum cost share of 40% by the recipient is preferred. Include detailed information on the type of fencing to be installed, whether funding is requested for boundary fencing, new or replacement of existing fencing, and/or cross fencing.

Management of Project - Provide a description of how you will manage and oversee the project to ensure it is carried out on schedule and in a manner that best ensures its objectives will be met.

Include a brief background and work experience for those managing the project.

Moore Engineering is the engineer for the Steele County WRD. Moore Engineering would create plans and specifications for the project. Moore would also handle bidding, contract administration and would conduct construction inspection, measuring quantities and handling contractor pay requests. Moore Engineering will be providing updates to the Steele County WRD at their monthly meetings. Moore Engineering has 57 years' experience handling projects for various water resource districts and municipalities.

Evaluation - Describe your plan to document progress and results.

Please be specific on the methods you will utilize to measure success. Note that regular reporting, final evaluation and expenditure reports will be required for every grant awarded.

As a part of the construction inspection, Moore would review shop drawing submittals and review testing results for soil compaction. Moore would make recommendations to the Steele County WRD if the contractor were not meeting the requirements of the contract. The newly installed pipes would be surveyed. If the pipes are installed correctly, allowing water to flow into and out of Lake Tobiason, and if the compaction testing meets requirements, then the project would be considered successful.

Financial Information

Project Budget - Use the table to provide an itemized list of project expenses and describe the matching funds being utilized for this project.

Indicate if the matching funds are in the form of cash, indirect costs or in-kind services. The budget should identify all other committed funding sources and the amount of funding from each source. **A minimum of 25% match funding is required.** An application will be scored higher the greater the amount of match funding provided. (See Scoring Form.)

Certain values have been identified for in-kind services as detailed under "Budget Information" at the back of this form. Refer to that section and utilize these values in identifying your matching funds. **NOTE: No indirect costs will be funded.**

Project Expense	OHF Request	Applicant's Match Share (Cash)	Applicant's Match Share (In-Kind)	Applicant's Match Share (Indirect)	Other Project Sponsor's Share	Total Each Project Expense
Total Project Cost	\$86,000.00	\$28,810.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,190.00	\$172,000.00
Total Costs	\$86,000.00	\$28,810.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,190.00	\$172,000.00

Budget Narrative - Use the space below to provide additional detail regarding project expenses.
 Project would include the removal of existing corrugated metal pipes, installation of new reinforced concrete pipes, rock/control structure, erosion control, other miscellaneous items and related engineering, legal and administrative costs. Attached is a detailed Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost dated September 21, 2018.

Sustainability - Indicate how the project will be funded or sustained in future years.
 Include information on the sustainability of this project after OHF funds have been expended and whether the sustainability will be in the form of ongoing management or additional funding from a different source.

The Steele County WRD will maintain the project over its expected service life, which is expected to be a minimum of 50 years. Funds for any necessary maintenance would be from the WRD's limited general funds, and/or through other funding agencies. Lake Tobiason does not have an assessment district that generates maintenance funds.

Partial Funding - Indicate how the project will be affected if less funding is available than that requested.

The Steele County WRD does not have sufficient funding to pay for the entire project on their own. \$86,000 has been committed for the project. The remaining \$86,000 is being requested from the Outdoor Heritage Fund, but if this amount is not awarded, the WRD will likely not be able to complete the project, and may have to plug or remove the existing pipes. Other funding applications such as through the NRCS have been unsuccessful.

Partnership Recognition - If you are a successful recipient of Outdoor Heritage Fund dollars, how would you recognize the Outdoor Heritage Fund partnership? * There must be signage at the location of the project acknowledging OHF funding when appropriate.

If the Steele County WRD is a successful funding recipient, a permanent sign will be placed at the boat landing. The sign is expected to be similar in size to a typical street sign, mounted on a single post in a similar fashion. The sign would acknowledge the contribution of the Outdoor Heritage Fund's contribution for the cost of the public improvements. The sign would include the Outdoor Heritage Fund's logo.

Awarding of Grants - Review the appropriate sample contract for your organization on the website at <http://www.nd.gov/ndic/outdoor-infopage.htm>.

Can you meet all the provisions of the sample contract? Yes No

If there are provisions in that contract that your organization is unable to meet, please indicate below what those provisions would be:

Instructions

After completing the form, applications and supporting documentation may be submitted by mail to North Dakota Industrial Commission, ATTN: Outdoor Heritage Fund Program, State Capitol - Fourteenth Floor, 600 East Boulevard Ave. Dept. 405, Bismarck, ND 58505 or by e-mail to outdoorheritage@nd.gov.

You are not limited to the spacing provided, except in those instances where there is a limit on the number of words. If you need additional space, please indicate that on the application form, answer the question on a separate page, and include with your submission.

The application and all attachments must be received or postmarked by the application deadline. You will be sent a confirmation by e-mail of receipt of your application. You may submit your application at any time prior to the application deadline.

Please review the back of this form to determine project eligibility, definitions, budget criteria, and statutory requirements.

ABOUT OHF:

The purpose of the North Dakota Outdoor Heritage Fund is to provide funding to state agencies, tribal governments, political subdivisions, and nonprofit organizations, with higher priority given to projects that enhance **conservation** practices in this state by:

Directive A. Providing access to private and public lands for sportsmen, including projects that create fish and wildlife habitat and provide access for sportsmen;

Directive B. Improving, maintaining and restoring water quality, soil conditions, plant diversity, animal systems and by supporting other practices of stewardship to enhance farming and ranching;

Directive C. Developing, enhancing, conserving and restoring wildlife and fish habitat on private and public lands; and

Directive D. Conserving natural areas and creating other areas for recreation through the establishment and development of parks and other recreation areas.

EXEMPTIONS

Outdoor Heritage Fund grants may not be used to finance the following:

- Litigation;
- Lobbying activities;
- Any activity that would interfere, disrupt, or prevent activities associated with surface coal mining operations; sand, gravel, or scoria extraction activities; oil and gas operations; or other energy facility or infrastructure development;
- The acquisition of land or to encumber any land for a term longer than twenty years; or
- Projects outside this state or projects that are beyond the scope of defined activities that fulfill the purposes of Chapter 54-17.8 of the North Dakota Century Code.

OHF funds may not be used, except after a finding of exceptional circumstances by the Industrial Commission, to finance:

- A completed project or project commenced before the grant application is submitted;
- A feasibility or research study;
- Maintenance costs;
- A paving project for a road or parking lot;
- A swimming pool or aquatic park;
- Personal property that is not affixed to the land;
- Playground equipment, except that grant funds may be provided for up to 25% of the cost of the equipment not exceeding \$10,000 per project and all playground equipment grants may not exceed 5% of the total grants per year (see Definitions/Clarifications for how this will be calculated);
- Staffing or outside consultants except for costs for staffing or an outside consultant to design and implement an approved project based on the documented need of the applicant and the expenditures may not exceed 5% of the grant to a grantee if the grant exceeds \$250,000 and expenditures may not exceed 10% of the grant to a grantee if the grant is \$250,000 or less (see Definitions/Clarifications for how this will be calculated);
- A building except for a building that is included as part of a comprehensive conservation plan for a new or expanded recreational project (see Definitions/Clarifications for definition of comprehensive conservation plan and new or expanded recreational project); or
- A project in which the applicant is not directly involved in the execution and completion of the project.

Budget Information

In-kind services used to match the request for Outdoor Heritage Fund dollars shall be valued as follows:

- Labor costs \$15.00 an hour
- Land costs Average rent costs for the county as shown in the most recent publication of the USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Services, North Dakota Field Office
- Permanent Equipment Any equipment purchased must be listed separately with documentation showing actual cost. (For example: playground equipment)
- Equipment usage Actual documentation
- Seed & Seedlings Actual documentation
- Transportation Mileage at federal rate
- Supplies & materials Actual documentation

More categories will be added as we better understand the types of applications that will be submitted. We will use as our basis for these standards other State and Federal programs that have established rates. For example, the North Dakota Nonpoint Source Pollution Management Program has established rates. If your project includes work that has an established rate under another State Program, please use those rates and note your source.

Definitions/Clarifications:

Building - Defined as "A structure with a roof either with walls or without walls and is attached to the ground in a permanent nature."

Comprehensive Conservation Plan - Defined as "A detailed plan that has been formally adopted by the governing board which includes goals and objectives--both short and long term, must show how this building will enhance the overall conservation goals of the project and the protection or preservation of wildlife and fish habitat or natural areas." This does not need to be a complex multi-page document. It could be included as a part of the application or be an attachment.

New and Expanded Recreational Project means that the proposed building cannot be a replacement of a current building. The proposed building must also be related to either a new or expanded recreational project--either an expansion in land or an expansion of an existing building or in the opportunities for recreation at the project site.

Playground equipment calculation - Only the actual costs of the playground equipment (a bid or invoice showing the amount of the equipment costs must be provided) - cannot include freight or installation or surface materials or removal of old equipment, etc.

Staffing/Outside Consultants Costs - If you are requesting OHF funding for staffing or for an outside consultant, you must provide information in your application on the need for OHF funding to cover these costs. For example, if you are an entity that has engineering staff you must explain why you don't have sufficient staff to do the work or if specific expertise is needed or whatever the reason is for your entity to retain an outside consultant. If it is a request for reimbursement for staff time then a written explanation is required in the application of why OHF funding is needed to pay for the costs of that staff member(s)' time. **The budget form must reflect on a separate line item the specific amount that is being requested for staffing and/or the hiring of an outside consultant.** This separate line item will then be used to make the calculation of 5% or 10% as outlined in the law. Note that the calculation will be made on the grant less the costs for the consultant or staff.

Scoring of Grants

Oral Presentation. Please note that you will be given an opportunity to make a ten-minute Oral Presentation at a meeting of the Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board. These presentations are strongly encouraged.

Open Record. Please note that your application and any attachments will be open records as defined by law and will be posted on the Industrial Commission/Outdoor Heritage Fund website.

All applications will be scored by the Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board after your ten-minute oral presentation. The ranking form that will be used by the Board is available on the website at <http://www.nd.gov/ndic/outdoor-infopage.htm> .

Awarding of Grants

All decisions on requests will be reported to applicants no later than 30 days after Industrial Commission consideration. The Commission can set a limit on duration of an offer on each application or if there isn't a specific date indicated in the application for implementation of the project, then the applicant has until the next Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board regular meeting to sign the contract and get the project underway or the commitment for funding will be terminated and the applicant may resubmit for funding. Applicants whose proposals have been approved will receive a contract outlining the terms and conditions of the grant.

Responsibility of Recipient

The recipient of any grant from the Industrial Commission must use the funds awarded for the specific purpose described in the grant application and in accordance with the contract. The recipient cannot use any of the funds for the purposes stated under Exemptions on the first page of this application.

If you have any questions about the application or have trouble submitting the application, please contact Andrea Pfennig at 701-328-3786 or apfennig@nd.gov.

Steele County Water Resource District

201 Washington Ave W
P.O. Box 231
Finley, ND 58230

Phone: (701) 524-1105 Fax: (701) 524-1715 E-mail: scwater@gmail.com

September 27, 2018

RE: Lake Tobiason Improvements

To the North Dakota Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board:

Lake Tobiason is located within our county and is utilized by many to fish, hunt, enjoy the water recreation, and use the adjacent café for family reunions and organizational events. The Steele County Water Resource District (WRD) inherited the Lake Tobiason dam embankment. The inlet and outlet pipes that provide fresh water to the lake are failing and need to be replaced. The WRD has been trying to come up with a solution to help restore Lake Tobiason for over a decade, and has reached out to many local, private, state and federal agencies for funding. Unfortunately, there is no assessment district and not enough funding from the WRD's general funding to pay for the much needed improvement project. The WRD is respectfully requesting \$86,000 from the Outdoor Heritage Fund, which is 50% of the total estimated project cost.

There is strong local support for the improvement project. A total of \$86,000 has been committed to the project. Local landowners took it upon themselves to gather a total of \$5,590 of contributions from local residents to help with the funding cost, and the township has contributed \$5,000. Our local Job Development Authority has also contributed \$15,000 to the project, and the County Commission has committed \$31,600. Attached you will find three local letters of support from the residents of Steele County including Beaver Creek Township, the Steele County Job Development Authority and the Steele County Commission. The WRD will contribute \$28,810 and is the local sponsor for the project. Attached you will find our Outdoor Heritage Fund grant application and a detailed cost estimate.

We are asking you to please consider funding this project since our County has a limited amount of recreational waters and this restoration would be extremely valuable to our local residents. If funded, it is the WRD's intent to ask the ND Game & Fish to resume restocking the lake, since this project would provide a reliable fresh water supply. Attached is our grant application and a detailed cost estimate. If you have any questions, please contact Tasha Krueger at 701-524-1105, or our engineer, Lyndon Pease, at 701-282-4621.

Best Regards,

Tasha Krueger

Steele County Water Resource District

Josh Ihry
Chairman
Hope, ND 58046
(701) 261-6712

Greg Thykeson
Vice Chairman
Portland, ND 58274
(701) 371-6582

Tor Bergstrom
Board Member
Finley, ND 58230
(701) 789-0879

Tasha Krueger
Secretary-Treasurer
PO Box 231
Finley, ND 58230

To whom this may concern:

We are writing this letter to express our support for the Lake Tobiason project. This Lake has been around for years & without proper maintenance the lake will continue to deteriorate. This lake is an important recreational lake to our county & we would like to show our support by helping to fund a portion of these expenses. The following individuals are offering their support for this project.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Contribution</u>
James Holmeger	1,000.00
Jeff Johnson	500.00
T. H.	200.00
Roy Ann	500.00
[Signature]	100.00
James Ness	500.00
John [Signature]	100.00
Karen E. Fisher	\$200.00
[Signature]	100.00
W. Jansen	50.00
Cody Brattle	50.00
Chad Johnson	100.00
Maei Johnson	100.00
Chryger Johnson	100.00
Ben Johnson	50.00
Mirayla Johnson	50.00
Sasha [Signature]	50.00

Arvo Johnson	100.00
Art Johnson	200.00
Juli Ness	100.00
	50.00
Thy Dahl	
3rd Ward	50.00
1st Ward	20.00
Wayle Verke	20.00
Pete Ness	250
	250
THE NESS	
Jay Anderson	200
Beaver Creek Township	5,000
Eric Anderson	200
Steve Dahl	50
Margret Dahl	50
Scott Ness	50
Deb Hannastad	50
Doug Hannastad	50
Dallas Hannastad	50
Mike Ness	100

STEELE COUNTY

201 WASHINGTON AVENUE WEST

PO BOX 275

FINLEY ND 58230

(701) 524-2110

Emily Wigen AUDITOR
Kari Dekker TREASURER
Michelle Newman RECORDER/CLK of COURT
Charles A. Stock STATES ATTORNEY
Wayne Beckman SHERIFF
STEELE CO. PRESS OFFICIAL PAPER

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:
1st Dist. Brian Tuite Finley
2nd Dist. Richard Strand Finley
3rd Dist. Ted Johnson Hope
4th Dist. Randy Richards Hope
5th Dist. Russell Walcker Finley

August 14th 2018

Re: Lake Tobiason Project

To Whom It May Concern,

The Steele County Commission would like to formally support the efforts and project being proposed by the Steele County Water District and the Lake Tobiason Project. The SCWD has presented their project proposal, needs and concerns with our board. The Commission agrees this project is critical in order to secure quality water habitat for wildlife for years to come.

It shall be known that it is necessary to replace pipes on both the inlet and outlet to the lake allowing for the replenishment of water and to improve the water quality of the lake. The pipes are 42 years old and are at the end of their life span. It has been reported that two of the five pipes have failed with a third pipe partially failing. The inlet pipes are below a valuable township road, which could pose potential safety concerns in the future. The failing of pipes could compromise the structure and safety of the road.

Lake Tobiason is a valuable amenity to Steele County, offering tourism opportunity with fishing, watersports, a restaurant and summer camp. The SCWD has the full support of the Steele County Commission to move forward with this project. Steele County is also willing to support the project by providing \$31,600 in funding.

Sincerely,


Brian Tuite

Steele County Commission - Chairman



Steele County Job Development Authority

Letter of Commitment

PO Box 451
602 Lincoln Avenue South
Finley, ND 58230

Phone: 701-524-2645
Fax: 701-524-2244
E-mail: steelejda@mlgc.com

Thursday, April 12, 2018

To Whom It May Concern,

The Steele County Job Development Authority is writing a letter of commitment to the Steele County Water Resource District (SCWRD) spearheading the Lake Tobiason Project. The said obligation is contingent on grant approval as well as other local entities collaborating and designating a portion of funds needed to meet the local match for submitted grant application requirements.

The Steele County Job Development Authority supports the water project to help continue tourism. April 12, 2018, Steele County JDA Board approved to commit up to \$15,000 toward the project. The SC JDA looks forward in collaborating with other local groups who support this repair and to get this vital project realized not only for the business aspect of the County but also to protect its existing habitat.

Lake Tobiason is considered a valuable feature to Steele County. The SCWRD has the support of the Steele County Job Development Authority to help ensure the existing businesses and flourishing habitats.

Sincerely,

Keith Ihry
Chairman
Steele County Job Development Authority



Lake Tobiason Improvements

Steele County Water Resource District

9/21/2018

Engineer's Opinion of Probable Cost

	ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL	POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES	
						LOCAL	ND Heritage Fund
Inlet Structure							
1.	Remove Inlet	EA	1	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$502.32	\$997.68
2.	Remove Pipe All Sizes All Types	LF	120	\$20.00	\$2,400.00	\$803.71	\$1,596.29
3.	Storm Sewer - 36" RCP	LF	120	\$83.00	\$9,960.00	\$3,335.41	\$6,624.59
4.	Flared End Section - 36" RCP	EA	1	\$2,750.00	\$2,750.00	\$920.92	\$1,829.08
5.	Storm Sewer Control Structure	EA	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$6,697.60	\$13,302.40
Outlet Pipes							
6.	Remove Pipe All Sizes All Types	LF	240	\$15.00	\$3,600.00	\$1,205.57	\$2,394.43
7.	Storm Sewer - 24" RCP	LF	120	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	\$2,009.28	\$3,990.72
8.	Flared End Section - 24" RCP	EA	4	\$1,500.00	\$6,000.00	\$2,009.28	\$3,990.72
9.	Storm Sewer - 36" RCP	LF	120	\$83.00	\$9,960.00	\$3,335.41	\$6,624.59
10.	Flared End Section - 36" RCP	EA	4	\$2,750.00	\$11,000.00	\$3,683.68	\$7,316.32
General Items							
10.	Mobilization	LS	1	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$2,679.04	\$5,320.96
11.	Riprap - Class III	CY	100	\$100.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,348.80	\$6,651.20
12.	Riprap Filter Blanket	SY	200	\$5.00	\$1,000.00	\$334.88	\$665.12
13.	Gravel Stripping and Spreading	CY	80	\$30.00	\$2,400.00	\$803.71	\$1,596.29
14.	Geotextile Fabric	SY	240	\$3.00	\$720.00	\$241.11	\$478.89
15.	Topsoil Stripping	AC	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$334.88	\$665.12
16.	Topsoil Spreading	AC	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$334.88	\$665.12
17.	Rock Check - Temporary	EA	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$669.76	\$1,330.24
18.	Seeding - Type III	AC	1	\$760.00	\$760.00	\$254.51	\$505.49
19.	Silt Fence - Standard	LF	400	\$3.00	\$1,200.00	\$401.86	\$798.14
20.	Storm Water Management	LS	1	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$167.44	\$332.56
21.	Traffic Control	LS	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$334.88	\$665.12
22.	Material Testing	Invoice	Allowance	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,674.40	\$3,325.60
Total Construction					\$107,750.00	\$36,083.33	\$71,666.67
Contingencies					\$21,550.00	\$7,216.67	\$14,333.33
Project Development					\$24,400.00	\$24,400.00	\$0.00
Pre-Construction Expenses					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Design Engineering					\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Construction Engineering					\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Land Survey					\$4,300.00	\$4,300.00	\$0.00
Right-of-Way Acquisition					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Legal & Adm. Fees					\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00
Utility Relocation					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Right-of-Way Negotiations					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Permitting					\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00
Fiscal					\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
TOTAL PROJECT COST					\$172,000.00	\$86,000.00	\$86,000.00

Notes:

1. Project Development: Research project history; Engineer's cost opinion to assist with determining project feasibility; Searching for additional funding sources; Meetings and correspondence with various political subdivisions.
2. Legal & Admin Fees: Administration for Advertising for bid and construction payments to contractor; Legal fees for attorney advising Owner concerning construction contract and agreement.
3. Permitting: Assumes Nationwide Section 404 USACE Permit and NDSWC Construction Permit are required.
4. Assumes geotechnical Analysis is not required.