

Outdoor Heritage Fund Grant Application



Instructions

After completing the form, applications and supporting documentation may be submitted by mail to North Dakota Industrial Commission, ATTN: Outdoor Heritage Fund Program, State Capitol – Fourteenth Floor, 600 East Boulevard Ave. Dept. 405, Bismarck, ND 58505 or by e-mail to outdoorheritage@nd.gov. It is preferred that both a hard copy and electronic copy are submitted.

You are not limited to the spacing provided, except in those instances where there is a limit on the number of words. If you need additional space, please indicate that on the application form, answer the question on a separate page, and include with your submission.

The application and all attachments must be received or postmarked by the application deadline. You will be sent a confirmation by e-mail of receipt of your application. You may submit your application at any time prior to the application deadline. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit applications prior to the deadline for staff review in order ensure that proposals will be complete when submitted on deadline date.** Incomplete applications may not be considered for funding.

Please review the back of this form to determine project eligibility, definitions, budget criteria, and statutory requirements.

Project Name: Grand Forks Area Prairie Management Toolbox Phase II

Name of Organization: Audubon Dakota

Federal Tax ID#: 13-1624102

Contact Person/Title: Marshall Johnson, Executive Director

Address: 118 North Broadway Ste. 716

City: Fargo

State: North Dakota

Zip Code: 58012

E-mail Address: mejohanson@audubon.org

Web Site Address (Optional): Dakota.audubon.org

Phone: 701-298-3373

Fax # (if available)

List names of co-applicants if this is a joint proposal

MAJOR Directive:

Choose only one response

Directive A. Providing access to private and public lands for sportsmen, including projects that create fish and wildlife habitat and provide access for sportsmen;

Directive B. Improving, maintaining and restoring water quality, soil conditions, plant diversity, animal systems and by supporting other practices of stewardship to enhance farming and ranching;

Directive C. Developing, enhancing, conserving and restoring wildlife and fish habitat on private and public lands; and

Directive D. Conserving natural areas and creating other areas for recreation through the establishment and development of parks and other recreation areas.

Additional Directive:

Choose all that apply

Directive A.

Directive B.

Directive C.

Directive D.

Type of organization:

State Agency

Political Subdivision

Tribal Entity

Tax-exempt, nonprofit corporation.

Abstract/Executive Summary.

Summarize the project, including its objectives, expected results, duration, total project costs and participants. (no more than 500 words)

The Grand Forks Area Prairie Management Toolbox (GFAPMT) Phase II will provide forage and habitat enhancement resources to landowners within the Grand Forks area, covering 5 counties – Grand Forks, Eddy, Foster, Nelson, and Walsh. Project activities will be focused within the Grand Forks County Prairie, a conservation planning and restoration area dedicated to the rare saline prairie landscape encompassing over 300,000 acres. Support emphasis will be placed on 3,000 acres within the Grand Forks area.

This project is building on the success of the Grand Forks County Prairie Management Toolbox Phase I which was initiated in 2017 by a \$121,220 OHF grant award. Phase I will be completed on July 31st, 2019 and will have impacted 4,878 acres through invasive species removal, prairie restoration, and grazing infrastructure support.

For this OHF grant application, Audubon Dakota and partners are requesting financial support for the second phase of GFAPMT, from July 1st, 2019 to June 30th, 2021. The distribution of the funding resources will be led by Audubon Dakota working with private landowners and conservation agencies in need of financial support for projects related to GFAPMT goals. The main goal of GFAPMT is to provide private and agency landowners support programs that offer financial and/or technical assistance, conserve the unique alkaline grassland ecosystem through conservation practices such as invasive species removal and supporting grazing infrastructure on 3,000 acres.

Total project costs associated with GFAPMT equal \$1,024,662.75. Audubon Dakota is requesting \$766,867.25 in OHF support.

Project Duration: July 1st, 2019 to June 30th, 2021

Indicate the intended schedule for drawing down OHF funds.

September 2019 to March 2020, and September 2020-March 2021 – Invasive species removal
 April 2020 to September 2020, and April 2021 to June 2021 – Fencing infrastructure

Amount of Grant request: \$ 766,867.25

Total Project Costs: \$1,024,662.75

Note: in-kind and indirect costs can be used for matching funds.

Amount of Matching Funds: \$ 257,795.50

A minimum of 25% Match Funding is required. Indicate if the matching funds will be in-kind, indirect or cash. Please provide verification that these matching funds are available for your project. Note that effective as of July 1, 2015 no State General Fund dollars can be used for a match unless funding was legislatively appropriated for that purpose.

Amount of Match	Funding Source	Type of Match
\$40,000	Audubon Dakota	<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$9,864	Audubon Dakota	<input type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indirect
\$207,931.50	Landowners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> In-Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Indirect

Certifications

X I certify that this application has been made with the support of the governing body and chief executive of my organization.

X I certify that if awarded grant funding none of the funding will be used for any of the exemptions noted in the back of this application.

Narrative

Organization Information – Briefly summarize your organization’s history, mission, current programs and activities.

Include an overview of your organizational structure, including board, staff and volunteer involvement. (no more than 300 words)

Audubon Dakota is the North and South Dakota state program within the National Audubon Society. Since 1905, the National Audubon Society has been focused on conserving bird habitat, promoting avian education, and engaging individuals and communities in a variety of activities and opportunities. Audubon Dakota as a state program was instituted in 1997, however Audubon’s role in the Dakotas spans back to the mid-1970s.

The mission of the National Audubon Society is to protect birds and the places they need, today and tomorrow, throughout the Americas using science, advocacy, education, and on-the-ground conservation.

Audubon seeks partnerships that advance its habitat conservation goals, including supporting through grassland habitat projects and the ranching industry. As a state office, Audubon Dakota has seven full time staff members for the two-state region (North and South Dakota). Audubon Dakota’s Advisory Board is comprised of six North Dakota citizens, and one South Dakota citizen. Currently, Audubon Dakota manages roughly 5,000 acres within the state. This would not be possible without the support and help of many different private land cooperators, agencies and programs, local businesses and individuals, many volunteers and local Audubon Chapters.

Audubon Dakota is also working on a habitat enhancement project on urban acres in Fargo, and the Conservation Ranching Program throughout the Dakotas. In all of our programs, the efforts and cooperation of our supporters is crucial to our success and the conservation of bird habitat in the Dakotas.

Purpose of Grant – Describe the proposed project identifying how the project will meet the specific directive(s) of the Outdoor Heritage Fund Program



*Figure 1: Draxton Family in front of 363 acre fencing project funded through GFAPMT Phase I.
Photo: Sarah Hewitt, Audubon. 2018*

The second phase of the Grand Forks Area Prairie Management Toolbox, if funded, will begin July 1st, 2019 and continue until June 30th, 2021. GFAPMT will provide private landowners support programs that offer assistance for conservation focused agricultural practices and protect enrolled acres between 5 to 10 years. GFAPMT will support grazing systems on 1,500 acres, with emphasis on acres that are expired or expiring from CRP. Acres with fencing provided through the Toolbox, will enter into a 10 year non-conversion agreement. This goal meets Directives B and C. An additional objective of GFAPMT is to provide financial support for invasive woody vegetation removal on 1,500 acres, with an emphasis on existing CRP or lands enrolled in other conservation programs, such as Private Land Open to Sportsman (PLOTS). Acres receiving invasive species

removal through the Toolbox, will enter into a 5 year non-conversion agreement. This meets Directives A and C.

This project is building on the success achieved through the Grand Forks County Prairie Management Toolbox initiated in 2017 through a \$121,220 North Dakota OHF grant. Over the last two years, more than 4,800 acres were enhanced through invasive species removal (specifically woody vegetation), prairie restoration, and grazing infrastructure support. The enhancement of these prairie tracts directly benefit landowners through increased forage production and profitability, while also improving habitat quality for birds and other wildlife species. The GFCP in northeast North Dakota, encompassing over 70,000 acres of rare saline prairie, is one of the few remaining prairie tracts left in the North American tallgrass prairie range, and is a priority conservation area for the Grand Forks County Prairie Partners (GFPP), of which, Audubon Dakota is an active member. Unfortunately, within the Grand Forks County Prairie area, the unique alkaline soils encourage the spread of Russian olives and other tree species, and grassland acres that are not routinely managed, such as Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) acres, become highly invaded. Financial assistance for woody invasive species removal on grassland acres was not previously offered through existing cost-share programs, resulting in the financial burden of removal placed on the landowner.



Figure 2: Russian Olive Tree Removal in Grand Forks County, Phase I. Photo: Carla Kramer. 2018

Though the invasive species removal tool through the GFAPMT will assist landowners in the removal of all woody species invading prairie/CRP acres, much emphasis will be on Russian olive removal. Russian olive is present in nearly every state in the United States, readily establishing in a variety of ecosystems – from forests to open prairies. This particular species distributes quickly as the fruits are consumed and spread by birds, and the roots sprout several live stems; leading to a major land management challenge for individual landowners. In a grassland habitat aspect, Russian olives easily crowd out native plant species and even alter soil chemistry, modifying the native plant community. Additionally, grassland nesting birds, such as bobolinks and meadowlarks, avoid habitat with tree encroachment as these areas are degraded and the present trees provide perches for nest predators. Though cattle will occasionally consume the leaves of Russian olive, the tree provides no forage value and leads to diminished habitat quality. Management of this species is a known challenge, with the most effective control method combining complete removal of the tree and root system, or cutting trees and following up with an herbicide application.

Is this project part of a Comprehensive Conservation Plan? Yes No
If yes, provide a copy with the application.

Note: Projects involving buildings and infrastructure will only be considered if part of a Comprehensive Conservation Plan. Please refer to the “Definitions” section at the back of the form for more details.

Management of Project – Provide a description of how you will manage and oversee the project to ensure it is carried out on schedule and in a manner that best ensures its objectives will be met.

Include a brief background and work experience for those managing the project.

The partners hold strong relationships with private landowners within the GFCP. Phase II of the GFAPMT will focus on lands expiring from CRP in the project area and projects that were identified

through Phase I that did not receive funding assistance due to lack of funding. 3,000 acres will be impacted within the project period, and 1,200 of those acres are already identified by Grand Forks area resource professionals for invasive species removal or financial support for grazing infrastructure.

For habitat projects yet to be identified, priority will be given to lands adjacent to fee title lands or other lands protected by conservation programs in order to enhance impact. Producers in this project who are interested in additional cost share or public access incentives will be directed to the Private Lands Open To Sportsmen (PLOTS) program through the North Dakota Game and Fish Department. Producers who are already enrolled in PLOTS will be provided opportunities for additional enhancements (grazing systems, woody removal) through funding from this project.

From Audubon Dakota, the staff members associated with the project will be Marshall Johnson, Sarah Hewitt, and Jodi Meisch. Marshall Johnson is the Executive Director of Audubon Dakota and has been leading conservation within North Dakota for the past 10 years. His background in business and his leadership skills make him a natural manager for this project. Sarah Hewitt, Audubon Dakota's conservation programs manager has been with Audubon Dakota for 3 years, and, along with Marshall, has led the development and implementation of Audubon's Prairie Management Toolbox program throughout Grand Forks, Stutsman, Sheridan, Burleigh, and Mclean counties. Jodi Meisch has been Audubon Dakota's office manager for 1 year, and has been responsible for administrating much of the Prairie Management Toolbox projects.

Evaluation – Describe your plan to document progress and results.

Please be specific on the methods you will utilize to measure success. Note that regular reporting, final evaluation and expenditure reports will be required for every grant awarded.

The GFAPMT project will be considered successful if acreage goals for each project objective are met, and landowners approve of the services received. In addition, each enrolled landowner will collaborate with an Audubon Dakota employee to develop a habitat management plan which details land management goals over the life of the project.

For grazing system support, Audubon Dakota and partners plan to impact up to 1,500 acres by collaborating with Grand Forks area private landowners and agencies. Of those acres, approximately 200 acres are already identified to enroll within GFAPMT. An important aspect to grazing support is the technical assistance that Audubon and partners can provide to landowners aimed at enhancing forage productivity and sustainable land use. Strategies to improve grassland productivity will be incorporated into the habitat management plan, and will be evaluated through site and cattle health monitoring reports by the landowner and Audubon staff. These evaluations will include the collection of avian and vegetation community data.

The GFAPMT is expected to deliver woody invasive species removal on 1,500 acres. Of those acres, 1,000 acres are already identified to be enrolled within GFAPMT. The impacts of the invasive species removal will be evaluated through site visits conducted by Audubon staff and the landowner. Data collected during these visits will be incorporated into the habitat management plan to inform future management needs. Data information will include baseline vegetation inventories and avian point count data, collected every 2 years.

Financial Information

Project Budget – Use the table below to provide an itemized list of project expenses and describe the matching funds being utilized for this project.

Indicate if the matching funds are in the form of cash, indirect costs or in-kind services. The budget should identify all other committed funding sources and the amount of funding from each source. **A**

minimum of 25% match funding is required. An application will be scored higher the greater the amount of match funding provided. (See Scoring Form.)

Certain values have been identified for in-kind services as detailed under “Budget Information” at the back of this form. Refer to that section and utilize these values in identifying your matching funds.

NOTE: No indirect costs will be funded. Supporting documentation for project expenses, including bids, must be included or application will be considered incomplete.

Project Expense	OHF Request	Applicant's Match Share (Cash)	Applicant's Match Share (In-Kind)	Applicant's Match Share (Indirect)	Other Project Sponsor's Share	Total Each Project Expense
Livestock and Wildlife Friendly Grazing Systems	\$91,200.00				\$60,800.00	\$152,000.00
Water Systems	\$41,237.25				\$27,491.50	\$68,728.75
Invasive Species Removal	\$624,430				\$119,640	\$744,070
Project Implementation			\$40,000	\$9,864		\$49,864
Contracted Surveys	\$10,000					\$10,000
Total Costs	\$766,867.25		\$40,000	\$9,864	\$207,931.50	\$1,024,662.75

Note: Costs for seeding, fencing, pipelines, wells, and cover crops cannot exceed NRCS Field Office Tech Guide without justification. Projects involving perimeter fencing must follow NRCS eligibility standards.

Budget Narrative – Use the space below to provide additional detail regarding project expenses.

Livestock and Wildlife Friendly Grazing Systems: perimeter (barbed multi strand) and cross fencing (electric high tensile) were priced through FOTG (2019), at the rates of \$1.28/ft and \$0.78/ft. respectively. Installation price was determined by industry average of \$1.08/ft. The GFAPMT is expected to assist in the installation of 75,000 feet of total fence (combination of perimeter and cross fencing).

Invasive Species Control: Project expense rate is based upon NRCS FOTG rate for woody vegetation of <6in DBH (\$690.36/ac., 500 ac.), and the contractor rates that have been collected for the 1,100 acres requesting removal. The contractor rates that have been collected do not exceed NRCS FOTG rates. Enrolled landowners will be responsible for post treatment enhancement, including brush management through chemical application or removal of cut trees. Landowner match was calculated for this practice using NRCS FOTG rate for Brush Management – chemical and mechanical treatment with medium level of infestation – of \$79.76/ac.

Water Systems: Project expense rate was based upon NRCS FOTG rates for wells, pipelines, pumping costs, and tanks.

Contractual services: Project expense rate was based upon industry average for cultural resource surveys of \$1,000/survey. Audubon expects to need to contract 10 surveys over the course of the project period.

Project Implementation: Audubon Dakota's in-kind match of \$40,000 accounts for the project implementation related to each of the tools offered through the GFAPMT. Indirect costs (24.66%) associated with this in-kind match totals \$9,864.

Sustainability – Indicate how the project will be funded or sustained in future years.

Include information on the sustainability of this project after OHF funds have been expended and whether the sustainability will be in the form of ongoing management or additional funding from a different source.

GFAPMT will be a phased project, and will remain on-going as long as the interest from landowners exist. Audubon Dakota and project partners are dedicated to seeking outside funding support through additional granting parties and foundations in order to sustain GFAPMT and ensure that the overall habitat management support resources it provides can be accessible to all within the Grand Forks area.

Partial Funding – Indicate how the project will be affected if less funding is available than that requested.

Audubon Dakota and partners are seeking funding through avenues outside of the ND Outdoor Heritage Fund for the GFAPMT project. However, if the ND Outdoor Heritage Fund is unable to fund this portion of GFAPMT then program implementation may potentially be delayed, which could lead to missed opportunities for effective conservation within the Grand Forks area.

Partnership Recognition - If you are a successful recipient of Outdoor Heritage Fund dollars, how would you recognize the Outdoor Heritage Fund partnership? * There must be signage at the location of the project acknowledging OHF funding when appropriate.

At each participating site that utilizes GFAPMT and approves signage use, signage will be installed recognizing the financial support provided by OHF and other project supporters. Additionally, as the project becomes fully implemented within the Grand Forks area landscape, Audubon Dakota and partners will place an emphasis on promoting GFAPMT and project supporters through newspaper articles and local news exposure.

Awarding of Grants - Review the appropriate sample contract for your organization on the website at <http://www.nd.gov/ndic/outdoor-infopage.htm>.

Can you meet all the provisions of the sample contract? Yes No

If there are provisions in that contract that your organization is unable to meet, please indicate below what those provisions would be:

ABOUT OHF:

The purpose of the North Dakota Outdoor Heritage Fund is to provide funding to state agencies, tribal governments, political subdivisions, and nonprofit organizations, with higher priority given to projects that enhance **conservation** practices in this state by:

Directive A. Providing access to private and public lands for sportsmen, including projects that create fish and wildlife habitat and provide access for sportsmen;

Directive B. Improving, maintaining and restoring water quality, soil conditions, plant diversity, animal systems and by supporting other practices of stewardship to enhance farming and ranching;

Directive C. Developing, enhancing, conserving and restoring wildlife and fish habitat on private and public lands; and

Directive D. Conserving natural areas and creating other areas for recreation through the establishment and development of parks and other recreation areas.

EXEMPTIONS

Outdoor Heritage Fund grants may not be used to finance the following:

- Litigation;
- Lobbying activities;
- Any activity that would interfere, disrupt, or prevent activities associated with surface coal mining operations; sand, gravel, or scoria extraction activities; oil and gas operations; or other energy facility or infrastructure development;
- The acquisition of land or to encumber any land for a term longer than twenty years; or
- Projects outside this state or projects that are beyond the scope of defined activities that fulfill the purposes of Chapter 54-17.8 of the North Dakota Century Code.

OHF funds may not be used, except after a finding of exceptional circumstances by the Industrial Commission, to finance:

- A completed project or project commenced before the grant application is submitted;
- A feasibility or research study;
- Maintenance costs;
- A paving project for a road or parking lot;
- A swimming pool or aquatic park;
- Personal property that is not affixed to the land;
- Playground equipment, except that grant funds may be provided for up to 25% of the cost of the equipment not exceeding \$10,000 per project and all playground equipment grants may not exceed 5% of the total grants per year (see Definitions/Clarifications for how this will be calculated);
- Staffing or outside consultants except for costs for staffing or an outside consultant to design and implement an approved project based on the documented need of the applicant and the expenditures may not exceed 5% of the grant to a grantee if the grant exceeds \$250,000 and expenditures may not exceed 10% of the grant to a grantee if the grant is \$250,000 or less (see Definitions/Clarifications for how this will be calculated);
- A building except for a building that is included as part of a comprehensive conservation plan for a new or expanded recreational project (see Definitions/Clarifications for definition of comprehensive conservation plan and new or expanded recreational project); or
- A project in which the applicant is not directly involved in the execution and completion of the project.

The goal of the Industrial Commission is that at a minimum 15% of the funding received for a biennium will be given priority for recreation projects that meet Directive D.

The following projects are not eligible for funding, unless there is a finding of exceptional circumstances by the Industrial Commission include:

- Construction or refurbishment of indoor/outdoor ice rinks,
- Construction or refurbishment of indoor/outdoor athletic courts and sports fields,

- Other substantially similar facilities.
- Infrastructure that is not part of a comprehensive conservation plan.
- Projects not meeting a minimum funding request of \$2,500.

Budget Information

In-kind services used to match the request for Outdoor Heritage Fund dollars shall be valued as follows:

- Labor costs \$15.00 an hour
- Land costs Average rent costs for the county as shown in the most recent publication of the USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Services,
North Dakota Field Office
- Permanent Equipment Any equipment purchased must be listed separately with documentation showing actual cost. (For example: playground equipment)
- Equipment usage Actual documentation
- Seed & Seedlings Actual documentation
- Transportation Mileage at federal rate
- Supplies & materials Actual documentation

More categories will be added as we better understand the types of applications that will be submitted. We will use as our basis for these standards other State and Federal programs that have established rates. For example, the North Dakota Nonpoint Source Pollution Management Program has established rates. If your project includes work that has an established rate under another State Program, please use those rates and note your source.

Definitions/Clarifications:

Building - Defined as “A structure with a roof either with walls or without walls and is attached to the ground in a permanent nature.”

Comprehensive Conservation Plan - Defined as “A detailed plan that has been formally adopted by the governing board which includes goals and objectives--both short and long term, must show how this building will enhance the overall conservation goals of the project and the protection or preservation of wildlife and fish habitat or natural areas.” This does not need to be a complex multi-page document. It could be included as a part of the application or be an attachment.

New and Expanded Recreational Project means that the proposed building cannot be a replacement of a current building. The proposed building must also be related to either a new or expanded recreational project--either an expansion in land or an expansion of an existing building or in the opportunities for recreation at the project site.

Playground equipment calculation - Only the actual costs of the playground equipment (a bid or invoice showing the amount of the equipment costs must be provided) - cannot include freight or installation or surface materials or removal of old equipment, etc.

Staffing/Outside Consultants Costs - If you are requesting OHF funding for staffing or for an outside consultant, you must provide information in your application on the need for OHF funding to cover these costs. For example, if you are an entity that has engineering staff you must explain why you don't have sufficient staff to do the work or if specific expertise is needed or whatever the reason is for your entity to retain an outside consultant. If it is a request for reimbursement for staff time then a written explanation is required in the application of why OHF funding is needed to pay for the costs of that staff member(s)' time. **The budget form must reflect on a separate line item the specific amount that is being requested for staffing and/or the hiring of an outside consultant.** This separate line item

will then be used to make the calculation of 5% or 10% as outlined in the law. Note that the calculation will be made on the grant less the costs for the consultant or staff.

Scoring of Grants

Oral Presentation. Please note that you will be given an opportunity to make a ten-minute Oral Presentation at a meeting of the Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board. These presentations are strongly encouraged.

Open Record. Please note that your application and any attachments will be open records as defined by law and will be posted on the Industrial Commission/Outdoor Heritage Fund website.

All applications will be scored by the Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board after your ten-minute oral presentation. The ranking form that will be used by the Board is available on the website at <http://www.nd.gov/ndic/outdoor-infopage.htm> .

Awarding of Grants

All decisions on requests will be reported to applicants no later than 30 days after Industrial Commission consideration. The Commission can set a limit on duration of an offer on each application or if there isn't a specific date indicated in the application for implementation of the project, then the applicant has until the next Outdoor Heritage Fund Advisory Board regular meeting to sign the contract and get the project underway or the commitment for funding will be terminated and the applicant may resubmit for funding. Applicants whose proposals have been approved will receive a contract outlining the terms and conditions of the grant.

Responsibility of Recipient

The recipient of any grant from the Industrial Commission must use the funds awarded for the specific purpose described in the grant application and in accordance with the contract. The recipient cannot use any of the funds for the purposes stated under Exemptions on the first page of this application.

If you have any questions about the application or have trouble submitting the application, please contact Andrea Pfennig at 701-328-3786 or apfennig@nd.gov.

Revised: September 14, 2018

Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203

(701) 696-2550

701-741-2845

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE

DATE

JOB NAME/LOCATION

TO Audubon DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION:

ted ANDERSON

> SI +153N R55W AGNES

THOUSANDS OF TREES

\$ 82,250.00

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED
JOB COST

ESTIMATED
BY

[Signature]

Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203

(701) 696-2550

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE

DATE

JOB NAME/LOCATION

TO Audubon DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION:

John Clark

>

51 + 153 N R55W AGNES

NO RUSSIAN OLIVES

ALL OTHER TREES - \$ 28,750.00

>

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED
JOB COST

ESTIMATED
BY

Kenneth

Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203
(701) 696-2550

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE

DATE

JOB NAME/LOCATION

TO Rudwick DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION:

John Botsford

59 x 7 151 N R 53 W - Chester

Remove ALL trees - \$ 116,000.00

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED
JOB COST

\$ 116,000.00

ESTIMATED
BY

Steve Chisholm

Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203

(701) 696-2550

701-741-2845

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE

DATE

JOB NAME/LOCATION

TO Audubon DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION:

BILL KUIPE

>

52 T 149 N R 52 W UNION

THOUSANDS OF TREES

VERY FEW RUSSIAN OLIVES

\$ 31,000.00

>

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED
JOB COST

ESTIMATED
BY



Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203

(701) 696-2550

701-741-2845

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE

DATE

JOB NAME/LOCATION

TO Audubon DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION:

CASEY HOVERSON

> 534 - 153 N 52 W LAKEVILLE

\$ 20.00 / ACRE RUSSIAN OLIVES \$1600.00

ALL OTHER TREES \$ 18750.00

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED
JOB COST

ESTIMATED
BY

Kurt Olsen

Chisholm Construction

2586 12th STREET NE
GRAND FORKS, ND 58203

(701) 696-2550
701-741-2845

JOB ESTIMATE

PHONE _____ DATE _____

JOB NAME/LOCATION _____

TO Audubon DAKOTA

JOB DESCRIPTION: Scott Peterson -

> S 11 + 150 N R 54 W AUDUBON -

NO RUSSIAN OLIVES

ALL other trees - \$1,500.00

S 26 + 150 N R 54 W AUDUBON

NO RUSSIAN OLIVES

ALL other trees - \$1,500.00

S 31 + 150 N R 54 W AUDUBON

LOTS OF trees on south part -

could NOT access north part

NO BID

> S 7 + 149 N R 52 W UNION

LOTS OF trees

South end CRP acreage NOT CLEAR

NO BID

THIS ESTIMATE IS FOR COMPLETING THE JOB AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. IT IS BASED ON OUR EVALUATION AND DOES NOT INCLUDE MATERIAL PRICE INCREASES OR ADDITIONAL LABOR AND MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED SHOULD UNFORESEEN PROBLEMS OR ADVERSE WEATHER CONDITIONS ARISE AFTER THE WORK HAS STARTED.

ESTIMATED JOB COST _____

ESTIMATED BY [Signature]