APPLICATION RATING FORM

Reviewer's Identification Number: (no name please)

Date:

Principal Investigator(s):

Proposal Number:

Application Title:

Section A. Summary of Ratings:

Please complete the questions below and then fill in this summary.

	Statement	Checked Number	X	Weighting Factor	=	Subrating
1.	Objectives		X	9	=	
2.	Achievability		X	9	=	
3.	Methodology		X	7	=	
4.	Contribution		X	7	=	
5.	Awareness		X	5	=	
6.	Background		X	5	=	
7.	Project Management		X	2		
8.	Equipment Purchase		X	2	=	
9.	Facilities		X	2	=	
10.	Budget		X	2	=	
	Total					/250

Note: While points are necessary to establish an overall rating, comments on the various criteria are critical to truly understanding the value of a proposed project. Please elaborate in the comment sections to the maximum extent possible.

 $If > 170 \quad \underline{\hspace{1cm}} Fund$ Overall Recommendation:

130 -170 ______ Funding May Be Considered If < 130 _____ Do Not Fund

Section B. Ratings and Comments:

Please indicate your response to each statement by placing an "x" in the box above the number and transfer the number selected to the column entitled "Checked Number" on the first page of this form. **Please comment on each criterion.**

1. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with North Dakota Industrial Commission/Renewable Energy Council goals are:

1 - Very Unclear	2 - Unclear	3 - Clear	4 - Very Clear	5 - Exceptionally Clear

Please comment:

2. With the approach suggested and time and budget available, the objectives are:

1 - Not	2 - Possibly	3 - Likely	4 - Most Likely	5 - Certainly
Achievable	Achievable	Achievable	Achievable	Achievable

Please comment:

3. The quality of the methodology displayed in the proposal is:

1 - Well Below	2 - Below	3 -	4 - Above	5 - Well Above
Average	Average	Average	Average	Average

Please comment:

4. The scientific and/or technical contribution of the proposed work to specifically address North Dakota Industrial Commission/Renewable Energy Council goals will likely be:

1 - Extremely	2 - Small	3 -	4 - Very	5 - Extremely
Small		Significant	Significant	Significant

Please comment:

5. The principal investigator's awareness of current research activity and published literature as evidenced by literature referenced and its interpretation and by the reference to unpublished research related to the proposal is:

1 - Very Limited	2 - Limited	3 -	4 - Better Than	5 - Exceptional
		Adequate	Average	

Please comment:

6. The background of the investigator(s) as related to the proposed work is:

1 - Very Limited	2 - Limited	3 -	4 - Better Than	5 - Exceptional
		Adequate	Average	

Please comment:

7. The project management plan, including a well-defined milestone chart, schedule, financial plan, and plan for communications among the investigators and subcontractors, if any, is:

1 - Very	2 -	3 -	4 - Very Good	5 – Exceptionally Good
Inadequate	Inadequate	Adequate		

Please comment:

8. The proposed purchase of equipment is:

1 - Extremely	2 - Poorly	3 -	4 - Well	5 – Extremely Well
Poorly Justified	Justified	Justified	Justified	Justified

(Check 5 if no equipment is to be purchased.)

Please comment:

9. The facilities and equipment available and to be purchased for the proposed research are:

1 - Very	2 -	3 -	4 - Notably	5 – Exceptionally Good
Inadequate	Inadequate	Adequate	Good	

Please comment:

10. The proposed budget "value" relative to the outlined work and the financial commitment from other sources is of:

1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 –	4 – High Value	5 – Very High Value
Value	Value	Average		
		Value		

Please comment:

Section C. Overall Comments and Recommendations:

Please comment in a general way about the merits and flaws of the proposed project and make a recommendation whether or not to fund.

General comments:

¹ "Value" – The value of the projected work and technical outcome for the budgeted amount of the project, based on your estimate of what the work might cost in research settings with which you are familiar.

²Financial commitment from other sources – A minimum of 50% of the total project must come from other sources to meet the program guidelines. Higher priority is to be given if the application has private industry investment equal to or at least 50% or more of total cost.